1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669				
2	City Attorney WAYNE K. SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137				
$\frac{2}{3}$	JEREMY M. GOLDMAN, State Bar #148137 TARA M. STEELEY, State Bar #21875				
	Deputy City Attorneys				
4	City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place				
5	San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-6762 [Goldman]				
6	(415) 554-4655 [Steeley] Facsimile: (415) 554-4699				
7	E-Mail: jeremy.goldman@sfcityatty tara.steeley@sfcityatty.org	org			
8 9	Attorneys for Respondent CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
10	CITT AND COUNTT OF SAN FRANCISCO				
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED JURISDICTION				
12					
13	RAMONA MAYON,	Case No. CGC-20-	-588010		
14	Petitioner,	DECLARATION	OF R. ANDREW COX IN		
	r cutioner,				
15	vs.	SUPPORT OF C FRANCISCO'S (	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN OPPOSITION TO		
16	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	SUPPORT OF C FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN		
	vs.	SUPPORT OF C FRANCISCO'S ( PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER		
16	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman		
16 17	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021		
16 17 18	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge: Time: Place: Action Filed:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman 11:00 a.m. Dept. 302 November 25, 2020		
16 17 18 19	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge: Time: Place:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman 11:00 a.m. Dept. 302 November 25, 2020		
16 17 18 19 20	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge: Time: Place: Action Filed:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman 11:00 a.m. Dept. 302 November 25, 2020		
16 17 18 19 20 21	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge: Time: Place: Action Filed:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman 11:00 a.m. Dept. 302 November 25, 2020		
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge: Time: Place: Action Filed:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman 11:00 a.m. Dept. 302 November 25, 2020		
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge: Time: Place: Action Filed:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman 11:00 a.m. Dept. 302 November 25, 2020		
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge: Time: Place: Action Filed:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman 11:00 a.m. Dept. 302 November 25, 2020		
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge: Time: Place: Action Filed:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman 11:00 a.m. Dept. 302 November 25, 2020		
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	SUPPORT OF CL FRANCISCO'S C PETITIONER'S TEMPORARY R Hearing Date: Hearing Judge: Time: Place: Action Filed:	ITY AND COUNTY OF SAN DPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A ESTRAINING ORDER January 6, 2021 Hon. Ethan P. Schulman 11:00 a.m. Dept. 302 November 25, 2020		

I, R. Andrew Cox, declare as follows:

1. I am a Lieutenant in the San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD") and the Officer-in-Charge of the Legal Division. Except for information made available to me in reports delivered in the ordinary course of business as noted below, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and would testify competently thereto if called as a witness.

 SFPD is currently not towing vehicles for expired registrations pursuant to Department Notice No. 20-076, dated April 29, 2020, unless there are exigent circumstances and approval is obtained by a supervisor. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Department Notice No. 20-076.

3. To the best of my knowledge SFPD has no plans to tow Petitioner's vehicle, has not provide notice of an intent to tow Petitioner's vehicle, and will not tow the vehicle unless there are exigent circumstances or towing is necessary under the community caretaking doctrine, as explained in Department Notice No. 20-076

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed January 4, 2021, in San Francisco, California.

R. ANDREW COX

DECL. COX ISO CITY'S OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR TRO CASE NO. CGC-20-588010

## **EXHIBIT A**

## ТО

#### DECLARATION OF R. ANDREW COX IN SUPPORT OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

# **DEPARTMENT NOTICE**

20-076 04/29/20

# **Towing of Vehicles – COVID-19**

The state of California has closed Department of Motor Vehicle offices due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The DMV currently offers limited service online, but many individuals will not be able to obtain or correct suspension status of a driver license, and/or correct vehicle registration issues. The DMV has requested law enforcement agencies, "*Exercise flexibility and discretion when reviewing driver license or identification and vehicle registration records.*"

Therefore, the department has determined that vehicles routinely subject to tow under the following tow authorities **shall** only be towed if there are exigent circumstances and with approval of a supervisor.

TOW AUTHORITY	CITE SECTION	ACTION
14602.6(a)(1) CVC	12500(A) CVC No DL issued	Park legally or release to licensed driver within 30 minutes.
14602.6(a)(1) CVC	14601.1(a) CVC Susp/Rev DL	Park legally or release to licensed driver within 30 minutes.
14602.6(a)(1) CVC	23247 ( e) CVC No ID	Park legally or release to licensed driver within 30 minutes.
22651(g) CVC	N/A	Park legally
22651(h) CVC	N/A	Park legally
22651(i) CVC	N/A	Do not tow (SCOFFLAW)
22651(o) CVC	4000(a)(1) CVC (mover)	Do not tow (Exp. reg over 6 months)
22651.5 CVC	N/A	Do not tow (SCOFFLAW)
22651.5 CVC	3704(b) MPC	Do not tow (audible alarm sounding)

In cases where the member and their supervisor deem a tow to be necessary, individuals who meet the criteria for road side vehicle release delineated in DB 18-117, but are not able to arrange the response of a licensed driver to the traffic stop location, will be allowed to obtain release of their towed vehicle. Members should direct affected individuals to respond to the STOP Window in Room 154 at 850 Bryant St. with an eligible, licensed driver who can take control of the towed vehicle.

The following are some examples of when a vehicle **may** be towed based on the *Community Caretaking Doctrine* with a supervisor's approval:

- When the vehicle is impeding traffic or jeopardizing public safety and convenience;
- When the vehicle is blocking a driveway or crosswalk or otherwise preventing the efficient movement of traffic (vehicle, pedestrian, bicycle);

- When the location of the stopped vehicle may create a public safety hazard (e.g., vehicle, pedestrian, bicycle);
- The validly licensed owner is not immediately available to prevent the immediate and continued unlawful operation of the vehicle.

Members should continue to prioritize necessary vehicle tows for criminal investigations and arrests pursuant to 22655.5(a) VC.

This Department Notice shall be in effect until rescinded or superseded.

WILLIAM SCOTT Chief of Police

*Per DB 19-156, sworn memebers are required to electronically acknowledge receipt and review of this Department Notice in HRMS. Any questions regarding this policy should be made to <u>sfpd.writtendirectives@sfgov.org</u> who will provide additional guidance about the directive.*